1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT TACOMA		
10 11 12	EDGAR R GARCIA, v.	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:14-CV-05990-RBL-JRC REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	PATRICK GLEBE,		NOTED FOR: August 14, 2015
14		Defendant.	
15	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States		
16	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §		
17	636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4.		
18	Defendant filed the pending motion to dismiss on February 27, 2015, asserting that		
19	plaintiff's complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Dkt. 11. After the		
20	motion to dismiss was filed, plaintiff filed a motion to supplement the record. Dkt. 17. In a		
21	separate order, the Court denied plaintiff's motion to supplement the record but granted plaintiff		
22 23	leave to file an amended complaint. <i>See</i> Dkts. 17, 20.		
24			

1 An amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). The original complaint is "treated thereafter as non-existent." Loux v. Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967) overruled on other grounds by Lacey v. Maricopa County, 693 F.3d 896 (9th Cir. 2012). Defendant's motion to dismiss attacks the original complaint, which will be "non-existent" because plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 11) be denied as moot. The Court notes defendant has the right to renew his motion to dismiss at the appropriate time. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on August 15, 2015, as noted in the caption. Dated this 20th day of July, 2015. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24